

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,716	10/06/2006	Juan Manuel Pedraza Sanz	130260.00201	3258
21269 7590 08699/2011 PEPPER HAMILTON LLP ONE MELLON CENTER, 50TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			ANGWIN, DAVID PATRICK	
500 GRANT STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/599,716	PEDRAZA SANZ, JUAN MANUEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DAVID ANGWIN	3729		

	DAVID ANGWIN	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>\( \)\[ \)\[ \]\ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliand time periods:</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
Extensions or fifte may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.156(a). The date have been filled it the date for purposes of determining the period of ex- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr nally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on, A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
	and the form of the original of the	20 mark 6 mark 6 1 h					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L below),					
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: In the proposed amendment dated 8/3/11, scope of the claims such that it would raise new is 41.33(a)).							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the</li> </ol>							
non-allowable claim(s).	lowable il submitted in a separate,	uniely liled amendine	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of anpeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none.</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-12</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1.3 and 4. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ul> <li>B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/David P. Angwin/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3729					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)